Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/573,829	BRICCARELLO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KENNETH TANG	2196
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amendment after non-final</u>		
(1) KENNETH TANG.	(3)	
(2) <i>David M. Longo (Reg. No. 53,235)</i> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 March 2011	Time: <u>4pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Interview		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102(e)		
Claims discussed: 22, 26-34, and 40		
Prior art documents discussed: Lesaint et al. (US 6,578,005 B1)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed that the limitations of dependent claims 33 or 34 added into the exisiting independent claims in addition to amending claims 40 and 43 to comply with 35 USC 101 would place the application in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Kenneth Tang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2196	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)